Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1254). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

# References

- Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1992). SIR92. XIV European Crystallographic Meeting, Enschede, The Netherlands.
- Carroll, K. M., Schwartz, J. & Ho, D. M. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 2707-2708.
- Colombo, A., Marturano, G. & Pasini, A. (1986). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 116, 35-40.
- Dell'Amico, G., Marchetti, F. & Floriani, C. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2197-2202.
- Gilli, G., Cruickshank, D. W. J., Beddoes, R. L. & Mills, O. S. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 1889–1893.
- Matilainen, L., Klinga, M. & Leskelä, M. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 219–225.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1993). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.6c. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351–359.
- Repo, T., Klinga, M., Pietikäinen, P., Leskelä, M., Uuusitalo, A.-M., Pakkanen, T., Hakala, K., Aaltonen, P. & Löfgren, B. (1996). *Macromolecules*. Submitted.
- Sasaki, C., Nakajima, K., Kojima, M. & Fujita, J. (1991). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 64, 1318-1324.
- Schlemper, E. O. (1967). Inorg. Chem. 6, 2012-2017.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC. Version 4.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Solari, E., Floriani, C., Chiesi-Villa, A. & Rizzoli, C. (1992). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 367-373.

#### Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2745-2747

# Dicyano(2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline-N,N')( $\eta^2$ -ethene)platinum(II)

#### ANGELA TUZI AND BARBARA PANUNZI

Dipartimento di Chimica, Universitá di Napoli 'Federico II', Via Mezzocannone 4, 80134 Napoli, Italy. E-mail: tuzi@chemna.dichi.unina.it

(Received 28 November 1995; accepted 17 July 1996)

#### Abstract

In the title compound,  $[Pt(CN)_2(C_2H_4)(C_{14}H_{12}N_2)]$ , the Pt atom has a trigonal-bipyramidal coordination geometry, with the phenanthroline and ethene ligands in the equatorial plane and the cyano ligands axial. A *trans* configuration of cyano ligands in such five-coordinate Pt<sup>II</sup> complexes is unprecedented.

#### Comment

A recent report of the synthesis of coordinatively saturated  $Pt^{II}$  complexes suitable as precursors of organometallic polymers (Giordano, Panunzi, Roviello & Ruffo, 1995) included the title compound, (I), or the oxidative addition of  $(CN)_2$  to  $(dmphen)Pt(C_2H_4)$ (where dmphen is 2,9-dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline). Analytical and spectroscopic data indicated the formula [(dmphen)Pt(C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>)(CN)<sub>2</sub>] and a trigonal-bipyramidal (TBP) geometry, with axial cyano ligands attached through their C atoms and ethene being considered as occupying a single equatorial site. Such an axial arrangement of the cyano ligands is unprecedented for 18-electron TBP-Pt<sup>II</sup> complexes and provides potential for the linking of metal ions. We have confirmed the proposed structure of (I) by X-ray crystallographic analysis.



The Pt coordination geometry is indeed TBP, with equatorial chelating dmphen and ethene ligands and with axial cyanides (Fig. 1). The Pt atom lies on a twofold rotation axis bisecting the ethene ligand and the overall molecular symmetry is approximately  $C_{2\nu}$  (*mm2*). The N—C—Pt—C—N unit is essentially linear, with angles of 177.6 (1) at platinum and 178.9 (4)° at carbon. The Pt—C [2.007 (5) Å] and C—N [1.130(7) Å] bond lengths are comparable to corresponding values in square-planar complexes having *trans*-CN<sup>-</sup> ligands (Ebihara, Imai & Kawamura, 1995; Staples, Khan, Wang & Fackler, 1992). The dmphen ligand is essentially planar, with a maximum deviation of 0.039 (5) Å for



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) with ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.

Pt1

NI

N2 CI

C2

C3

C4 C5

C6 C7 C8

C9

the C3 atom from the mean plane, excluding H atoms. The Pt atom lies in this plane (required by symmetry) and C9 lies only 0.019 (6) Å from it, so the dmphen and coordination equatorial planes are essentially coincident. The Pt—N [2.215(3) Å], ethene C—C [1.441(5) Å] and Pt-C [2.103 (4) Å] bond lengths are typical of TBP-Pt<sup>11</sup> complexes having these ligands (Albano et al., 1992; Albano, Castellari, Ferrara, Panunzi & Ruffo, 1994).

Intermolecular contacts for non-H atoms are all greater than 3.4 Å. Molecules are arranged with dmphen ligands stacked parallel to one another.

# **Experimental**

The title compound was synthesized according to the method of Giordano et al. (1995). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a 1:1 methylene chloride/acetone solution.

#### Crystal data

 $[Pt(CN)_2(C_2H_4)(C_{14}H_{12}N_2)]$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $M_r = 483.45$  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Monoclinic Cell parameters from 25 reflections C2/ca = 15.265(3) Å  $\theta = 9.52 - 11.21^{\circ}$ b = 11.115(2) Å  $\mu = 8.72 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 295 Kc = 10.178(2) Å Prism  $\beta = 109.70(1)^{\circ}$ 0.25  $\times$  0.13  $\times$  0.05 mm  $V = 1626 (7) \text{ Å}^3$ Pale orange Z = 4 $D_x = 1.975 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m = 1.87 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$  $D_m$  measured by flotation in aqueous K<sub>2</sub>HgL<sub>4</sub> solution Data collection Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 1462 observed reflections diffractometer  $[I > 3\sigma(I)]$  $\omega/\theta$  scans  $R_{\rm int} = 0.017$ Absorption correction:  $\theta_{\rm max} = 28^{\circ}$  $h = -20 \rightarrow 20$ refined from  $\Delta F$ (DIFABS; Walker &  $k = 0 \rightarrow 14$ Stuart, 1983)  $l = 0 \rightarrow 13$ 1 standard reflection  $T_{\min} = 0.320, T_{\max} =$ 0.753 frequency: 120 min 1791 measured reflections intensity decay: 0.1% 1606 independent reflections Refinement Refinement on F

R = 0.019wR = 0.028S = 1.0931458 reflections 106 parameters H atoms riding  $w = 4F_{0}^{2}/[\sigma^{2}(F_{0}^{2})]$ +  $(0.04F_o^2)^2$ ]  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.01$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = 0.22 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: Stout & Jensen (1989)

Extinction coefficient:  $2.3219 \times 10^{-1}$ Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974, Vol. IV, Table 2.2B)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )

$$U_{\rm eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x	v	z	$U_{eo}$
1/2	0.16560(2)	3/4	0.0353 (1)
0.4358 (3)	0.3232 (3)	0.6199 (4)	0.037(1)
0.6587 (4)	0.1591 (3)	0.6188 (5)	0.060(1)
0.3727 (3)	0.3200 (3)	0.4914 (5)	0.041 (1)
0.3361 (3)	0.4300 (4)	0.4214 (5)	0.051(1)
0.3626 (3)	0.5377 (4)	0.4818 (5)	0.052 (1)
0.4315 (3)	0.5440 (4)	0.6169 (4)	0.044 (1)
0.4662 (3)	0.4339(3)	0.6837 (4)	0.037 (1)
0.4668 (4)	0.6519(3)	0.6855 (6)	0.054 (1)
0.3432 (4)	0.2025 (5)	0.4233 (5)	0.052(1)
0.6009 (3)	0.1619 (3)	0.6648 (5)	0.041 (1)
0.4645 (4)	-0.0122 (3)	0.6820(5)	0.051(1)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pt1—N1	2.215 (3)	C2—C3	1.343 (7)
Pt1—C8	2.007 (5)	C3—C4	1.423 (6)
Pt1C9	2.103 (4)	C4C5	1.412 (6)
NI-CI	1.338 (6)	C4C6	1.401 (6)
N1C5	1.396 (5)	C5—C5 <sup>i</sup>	1.397 (4)
N2—C8	1.130(7)	C6—C6,	1.363 (6)
C1C2	1.431 (6)	С9—С9,	1.441 (5)
C1—C7	1.476 (6)		
N1—Pt1—C8	90.4 (2)	C8—Pt1—C8'	177.6(1)
N1Pt1C9	122.2 (2)	C9-Pt1C9'	40.1(1)
NI-PtI-NI <sup>i</sup>	75.5(1)	Pt1-C8-N2	178.9 (4)
C8-Pt1-C9	89.3 (2)	Pt1-C9-C9'	70.0 (2)

Symmetry code: (i)  $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$ .

H atoms were constrained using a riding model and were given  $U_{\rm iso}$  values equal to those of the carrier atoms.

Data collection: CAD-4 Manual (Enraf-Nonius, 1988). Cell refinement: SDP (Enraf-Nonius, 1985). Data reduction: SDP. Program(s) used to solve structure: Patterson function of MULTAN11/82 (Main et al., 1982). Program(s) used to refine structure: SDP. Molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976).

The authors are grateful to Professor F. Giordano for helpful discussions. Thanks are due to the Ministero dell'Università e della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica for financial support and to the Centro Interdipartimentale di Metodologie Chimico-Fisiche, Università di Napoli 'Federico II' for the X-ray and computing facilities.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: CF1071). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

# References

- Albano, V. G., Castellari, C., Ferrara, M. L., Panunzi, A. & Ruffo, F. (1994). J. Organomet. Chem. 469, 237-244.
- Albano, V. G., Castellari, C., Monari, M., De Felice, V., Panunzi, A. & Ruffo, F. (1992). Organometallics, 11, 3665-3669.
- Ebihara, M., Imai, T. & Kawamura, T. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 1743-1745.
- Enraf-Nonius (1985). Structure Determination Package. SDP/PDP User's Guide. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

- Giordano, F., Panunzi, B., Roviello, A. & Ruffo, F. (1995). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 239, 61-66.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Main, P., Fiske, S. J., Hull, S. E., Lessinger, L., Germain, G., Declercq, J.-P. & Woolfson, M. M. (1982). MULTAN11/82. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Universities of York, England, and Louvain, Belgium.
- Staples, R. J., Khan, N. I., Wang, S. & Fackler, J. P. Jr (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 2213–2215.
- Stout, G. H. & Jensen, L. H. (1989). X-ray Structure Determination, p. 393. New York: Wiley Interscience.
- Walker, N. & Stuart, D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 158-166.

# Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2747-2750

# An Unexpected Trimethylstannylpyrrole from a Stannylated Derivative of Tosylmethyl Isocyanide (TosMIC) and Chalcone

Auke Meetsma,<sup>a</sup> Harm P. Dijkstra,<sup>b</sup> Ronald ten Have<sup>b</sup> and Albert M. van Leusen<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Crystal Structure Centre, Chemical Physics, Materials Science Centre, Groningen University, Nijenborgh 4, NL-9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands, and <sup>b</sup>Groningen Centre for Catalysis and Synthesis, Department of Organic and Molecular Inorganic Chemistry, Groningen University, Nijenborgh 4, NL-9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: a.meetsma@chem.rug.nl

(Received 2 May 1996; accepted 9 July 1996)

# Abstract

The structure of the title compound, *tert*-butyl 3-benzoyl-4-phenyl-2-(trimethylstannyl)pyrrole-*N*-carboxylate,  $[Sn(CH_3)_3(C_{22}H_{20}NO_3)]$ , is one of the first in which the stannyl group is attached to a C atom of the pyrrole ring. There appears to be an intramolecular interaction between the Sn atom and the carbonyl O atoms of both the benzoyl and the *tert*-butoxycarbonyl substituents. The compound was prepared by a baseinduced cycloaddition of a stannylated derivative of tosylmethyl isocyanide to chalcone.

# Comment

(Trialkylstannyl)pyrroles are of use in palladiumcatalyzed cross-coupling reactions (Stille, 1986) for the preparation of oligo- and polypyrroles (Martina, Enkelmann, Wegner & Schlütter, 1992). We are presently engaged in developing new routes to stannylated azoles using tosylmethyl isocyanide [TosMIC; (1)] and trialkylstannyl halides. In one case, a stannylated synthon was prepared *in situ* by double deprotonation of TosMIC, followed by addition of one equivalent of trimethylstannyl chloride in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at 203 K (see reaction scheme). Chalcone (*E*)-PhCH=CHCOPh was then added at the same temperature to give a pyrrole, (2), which was converted to a stable crystalline *Ntert*-butoxycarbonyl (Boc) derivative, (3), using Boc<sub>2</sub>O (Grehn & Ragnarsson, 1984). Compound (3) was crystallized from petroleum ether (b.p. 313–333 K).



So far, trisubstituted pyrroles prepared by the same approach (from Michael acceptors and monosubstituted derivatives of TosMIC) always carry the third substituent (introduced via monosubstituted TosMIC) at the position  $\beta$  to the electron-withdrawing group of the Michael acceptor (Possel & van Leusen, 1977; van Leusen & van Leusen, 1995a). By extrapolation, the initial reaction product of the reaction scheme was expected to be 4-benzoyl-3-phenyl-2-(trimethylstannyl)pyrrole, (4), rather than 3-benzoyl-4-phenyl-2-(trimethylstannyl)pyrrole, (2), through regiospecific cycloaddition of TosCLi(SnMe<sub>3</sub>)N=C, (5). To confirm the inconclusive spectroscopic evidence for (4), the Xray structure of the N-Boc derivative was determined, which surprisingly proved to be compound (3) and not the N-Boc derivative of (4). This means that stannylation of dilithio-TosMIC probably leads to reaction at the isocyano C atom (unlike all previously known alkylations) to give TosCLi= $N^+$ = $C^-$ -SnMe<sub>3</sub>, (6), as the synthon prepared in situ. Alternative explanations, such as a reversal of the regioselective addition of (5) or a migration of the trimethylstannyl group in (4), seem much less likely. The actual structure of the corresponding silvlated TosMIC derivative, so far considered to be TosCLi(SiMe<sub>3</sub>)N=C (van Leusen & Wildeman, 1982), remains to be seen. Trisubstituted pyrroles similar to (2), with Ph, Me, MeO and MeS substituents in place of Me<sub>3</sub>Sn, have been prepared previously using different synthons of the N-tosylmethyliminocarbonate and N-tosylmethylthiobenzimidate types, for example, TosCH<sub>2</sub>N=C(SMe)Ph (Houwing & van Leusen, 1981; van Leusen & van Leusen, 1995b).